Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) CHARROPPIN, PASCAL				
10/767,143					
Examiner	Art Unit				
SHANNON S. SALIARD	3628				

		SHANNON S. SALIARD	3628			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	of Appeal. To avoid aba avit, or other evidence, v be with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request		
9)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection				
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		th in the final rejection, wh	chever is later. In		
٠,	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final rejecti	on.		
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(TIETHOT KEI ET WAOT	LLD WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exist wave bean filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extended 57 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office active stort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if it NOTICE OF APPEAL.						
2. C	The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two month	s of the date of		
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w			e appeal. Since a		
	NDMENTS					
3. L	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause		
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		OTE below);			
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		and in a new niversity days t	ha laawaa fan		
	 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 			ne issues for		
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.			
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. 📮	= ',' ',' ', ', ', ', ', ', ', ', ', ', '					
8. ∟	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of		
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.					
^	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
		t hefere or on the date of fling a	Nation of Annualill no	t he entered		
о. L	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	s to provide a		
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.		
	☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	ce because:		
	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(DTO (OD (OO) D				

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Shannon S Saliard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3628 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has challenged Examiner's use of Official Notice. Examiner submits the the reference to Mark et al (US 5,710,706). Mark et al discloses a frailing machine which continues to frank mail items with current postal data although the current postal data is out of date (col. 1, lines 20-33). Examiner notes that the reference to Mark et al is only being used to substantiate the Examiner's previous use of Official Notice and does not result in a new grounds of rejection. Applicant also argues that there is different motivation for why Boothby and the present application "compare one by one data in a first table with a second table to determine whether the data has changed." The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obsiga, 227 USPO 58, 60 (8d. Pat App. 8, Inter, 1985).

Continuation of 13. Other: Attached PTO-892 to cite the reference to Markl et al (US 5,710,706) to substantiate the previous Official Notice..